

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,185	11/17/2003	Michele J. Alberg		N95.12-0016	3335
164 7590 01/05/2007 KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.			`	EXAMINER	
THE KINNEY & I	LANGE BUILDING			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
312 SOUTH THIR MINNEAPOLIS, I	_ -			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		3754	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>.</u>
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE .	
3 MONTHS		01/05/2007		PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/715,185	ALBERG, MICHELE J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
	or Reply					
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONing te, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	<u>October 2006</u> .	·			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application	on.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🛛	Claim(s) 7-20 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,21,22 and 39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>23-31</u> is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the ${\bf I}$	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, p,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.			
	·					
Attachmer						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·			

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2,4-6,21-22,39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aleck 3,592,360.

Aleck discloses a container (10) for holding and dispensing liquid, which comprises a container mouth (24), a container body (12) extending from the container mouth, comprising: a rigid portion dimensionally defining the container (col. 1, II. 48-60), a liner portion (14) disposed within the container adjacent to the rigid portion, and an adhesive layer (36) disposed between the rigid portion and the liner portion, wherein the adhesive layer removably secures the liner portion to the rigid portion such that the liner portion is capable of being separated from the rigid portion and collapsed within the container (col. 2, II. 42-75 onto col. 3, II. 1-17), the liner portion is configured to dispense the liquid through the container mouth while pressurized gas from an external source is introduced between the rigid portion and the liner portion (col. 3, II. 1-17), a gas inlet (22) extending through the rigid portion to a point between the rigid portion and the liner portion, wherein the rigid portion comprises at least one barrier layer for reducing permeation of

Art Unit: 3754

moisture, please note that it is inherent that Aleck's rigid container is capable of reducing permeation of moisture, light and gas.

The device shown by Aleck will perform the method recited in claim 39 during normal operational use of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleck 3,592,360.

Aleck has taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the rigid portion, the adhesive portion and the liner portion are formed together.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the rigid portion, the adhesive portion and the liner portion of Aleck together, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

Further, with respect to the claimed limitation that the rigid portion, the adhesive portion and the liner portion are formed together through a blow-molding process.

Art Unit: 3754

Applicant should note the process of forming (blow-molding process) the device/package is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself.

Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7-20 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 23-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/5/2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3754

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-

272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN

December 23, 2006

Frederick C. Nicolas

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754